



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/765,274	01/22/2001	Koji Yotsumoto	2001-0034A	9684
7590 03/18/2004			EXAMINER	
WENDEROTH, LIND & PONACK, L.L.P.			WARE, CICELY Q	
Suite 800 2033 K Street, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington, DC 20006			2634	6
			DATE MAILED: 03/18/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/765,274	YOTSUMOTO, КОЈІ			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Cicely Ware	2634			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tute, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed /s will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11	March 2004.				
, , ,					
·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 7-9 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examination  10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 11 March 2004 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the community.  11)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e: a) accepted or b) objected the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se rection is required if the drawing(s) is objection.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Burn * See the attached detailed Office action for a light service.	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applicat riority documents have been receiv eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/765,274

Art Unit: 2634

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Specification

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of **50 to 150 words**. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

- 2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:
- a. Pg. 4, line 5, examiner suggests applicant move "(2)" to line 4, for clarification purposes.
- b. Pg. 4, line 26, applicant uses "c=I. and". Examiner suggests applicant delete "." for clarification purposes.
- c. Pg. 6, lines 1-3, examiner suggests applicant re-write these lines for clarification purposes.
- d. Pg. 9, line 25, applicant uses the phrase "RX par 2". Examiner assumes applicant means "RX part 2".

Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 09/765,274 Page 3

Art Unit: 2634

3. The specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 5. Claims 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Jensen et al. (US Patent 6,005,856).
- (1) With regard to claim 7, Jensen et al. discloses a communication protocol for wireless communications using a Robertson device wherein a receiver is adapted to detect an approximate value of the power of a reception signal, operation are performed using a plurality of approximate equations, a plurality of candidates each becoming an approximate value of the power of the reception signal are calculated, and an excellent one is elected from among a plurality of the candidates as an approximate from among a plurality of the candidates as an approximate value of the power of the reception signal (col. 10, lines 8-14, 47-59, 64-67, col. 20, lines 20-23).

It is well know in the art that a Robertson device or technique is used to approximate coordinates or candidates through the use of approximate equations inherently using a plurality of candidates.

Application/Control Number: 09/765,274 Page 4

Art Unit: 2634

(2) With regard to claim 8, Jenson et al. further discloses a mobile-station device (col. 10, lines 8-14).

(3) With regard to claim 9, Jenson et al. further discloses a detection method adapted to detect an approximate value of the power of a signal received from a receiver (col. 10, lines 8-14, 47-59, 64-67, col. 20, lines 20-23).

### Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 1-6 are allowed.
- 7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The instant application discloses a receiver adapted to detect an approximate value of the power of a reception signal. Prior art references show similar methods but fail to teach: first operation means for multiplying a smaller one of a component I and component Q of the reception signal by 1/8 and the value of a larger one of the components I and Q; second operation means for multiplying the smaller one of the component I and component Q of the reception signal by ½ and a value obtained by multiplying the larger on of these components I and Q by 7/8; and detection means for detecting the value of a larger one of the operation result of the first operation means and the operation result of the second operation means as an approximate value of the power of the reception signal; a first comparator for comparing a component I and component Q of a reception signal with each other to determine which one of these components is larger or smaller to output a larger component as a first output value and output a smaller component as a second output value; a 3-bit, 1-bit, 2-bit, shift registers;

Application/Control Number: 09/765,274

Art Unit: 2634

Page 5

and a second comparator for comparing an output value from a first adder and an output value from a second adder to determine which one of these values is larger of smaller and output the value of the larger one as an approximate value of the power of the reception signal.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cicely Ware whose telephone number is 703-305-8326. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday – Friday, 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor. Stephen Chin can be reached on 703-305-4714. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9314 for regular communications and 703-872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

Cicely Ware

cqw

March 11, 2004

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600